

Sergeant Lilly and Ms. Harvick kept trying to accuse me of coaching my son to say the above slurs in addition to my voice in the background on a call in which I said the word, “pedophile,” and said they possessed evidence that was going to be real hard for me to listen to when she would be forced to play them for Judge Hofstetler in court the next morning. They further accused me of making a false report against Julian’s father and alleged “these cases never turn out to be true,” in Ms. Harvick’s experience. Ms. Harvick also showed bias against me when she condescendingly said, “It is not at all unusual in cases ‘like yours’ for fathers to neglect to attend the birth and abandon mothers in pregnancy.” Ms. Harvick said that Julian did admit to her that there was a boy at Daddy and Nancy’s house with black spiky hair, but that the fact that Julian gave the same word-for-word account of the alleged incident three times was proof, in her many years of working with children in these cases, that I had coached my son. I tried to inform Ms. Harvick that my son, in addition to showing early signs of giftedness in other areas, had always been extremely gifted verbally, as I had been as a toddler and all through school—I was a high school English teacher, after all. I had been reading to Julian non-stop since I found out I was pregnant with him. They also asked if my son made up a lot of stories. I acknowledged to them that based on my personal knowledge and experience with studying and observing the various stages of child development, in particular, my son’s, it was quite possible and that it would be age appropriate to make up stories. However, I had concrete evidence and personal knowledge that would logically lead any reasonable person of sound mind to suspect (in good faith) that something very