her the telephone numbers and contact information. I have yet to be contacted by anyone in regard to this matter.

Another concern I have with regard to the manner in which "The Department" conducts themselves has to do with the fact that on my first conversation with Ms. Gray, who has acted to the best of my knowledge very professionally, in fairness, and according to standard procedure, she went out of her way to emphasize that she was only present to monitor my compliance, but by no means was she allowed to make a recommendation to the judge. However, in the CPS manual, it clearly states that the case worker must directly make a recommendation to the Judge (at the five month mark, or no later than) as to whether the permanency plan will be substitute care or adoption, or family reunification. I respectfully confronted Ms. Gray on this matter on June 13, 2012 at the CAC, and she did say that sixty-days (60) would be her deadline for recommending an alternative placement or family reunification with me. On my first meeting with her, we were already on Day # 37. She also said that since I had confronted her about a service plan and/or Family Team Meeting, she would try to set one up for June 27, 2012. On the same day, I learned from Ms. Gray that Ms. Harvick had entered into IMPACT, their computer system, at least one additional lie, misrepresented fact, or false allegation. Ms. Harvick allegedly (falsely) documented that she did come to my house with police officers, but that I refused to let her in or answer the door to her. This NEVER HAPPENED! If it did, I certainly was not home, and my Timeline of Events will most certainly prove that Ms. Harvick and Officer Lilly, who alleged the same in person, that I had